UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,996	12/27/2005	Ludovic Goby	MM5060PCT	5909
ANDERSON, KILL & OLICK, P.C. 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK,, NY 10020-1182		8	EXAMINER	
			SAVAGE, JASON L	
NEW TORK,, I	N1 10020-1162		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
				1
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/562,996	GOBY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JASON L. SAVAGE	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ado	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- [.] action is non-final.					
,		secution as to the	morite is			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060214.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 2-3 recites "one weld bead... produced by transparency and intended (emphasis added) to fix at least two parts... to each other". The use of intended makes the claim indefinite since it is not clear if the parts are joined by the weld bead or if they may be welded but not be fixed to each other.

Claim 6, lines 2-3 recites "one weld bead... produced by transparency and designed (emphasis added) to fix at least two parts... to each other". The use of

designed makes the claim indefinite since it is not clear if the parts are joined by the weld bead or if they may be welded but not be fixed to each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heinz (EP 1120488 English Machine Translation).

Heinz teaches an assembly of at least two sheet parts which are fixed to each other by weld bead seams **24** (abstract and figure 2). Heinz further teaches that each weld bead have a start point **36** which is preferably also the end point (abstract and Figures 5-7). Heinz teaches that the weld seam is formed by multiple rotations wherein the radius of the weld continuously changes forming a helical pattern (par[0009-0010] and Figure 5-7). Heinz also exemplifies a weld bead **35** defines a closed line delimiting an internal zone wherein the start and end point **36** of the weld bead is located (Figures

4 and 7). In the alternative, if there is a difference between the prior art and that which is claimed, it must be minor and thus would have been obvious.

Regarding claim 2, the area in which the weld bead starts and ends (i.e. area adjacent to point **36**) would be start and end zones respectively wherein the start or end point are located within the recited zones.

Regarding claim 4, the closed lines are in circularly shaped and thus meet the claim limitation. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to have formed the welds in shapes which more closely approximate a circle.

Regarding claim 5, the weld bead defines a closed line forming useful zones within the closed line wherein the start and end point **36** is located in the internal zone.

Regarding claim 6, Heinz teaches a method which would meet the claim limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Heinz (EP 1120488 English Machine Translation).

Heinz teaches what is set forth above but it is silent to the start and end zones being arranged outside of a useful zone of the weld bead. The admitted prior art on page 1 lines 24-25 of the specification describes a known weld bead structure wherein start and end weld zones which are arranged outside of a useful zone (Figure 1). Heinz teaches that the weld bead structure disclosed is employed due to it having minimal stress (par[0004]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have

Application/Control Number: 10/562,996 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

followed the teaching of Heinz and modified the known weld bead structure of the prior art by forming the weld beads having a helical shape/structure wherein the start or end point is contained within the interior of the helical weld bead. One would have been motivated to modify the admitted prior art structure in such a manner in order to have minimized the stress formed in the material layers by employing the invention weld beam structure of Heinz.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON L. SAVAGE whose telephone number is (571)272-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason Savage/ 6-22-08

/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794